Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Community Confinement Facilities							
🗌 Interim 🛛 Final							
Date of Report 5/31/2019							
Auditor Information							
Name: James L. Roland Jr.		Email: james.roland@nakamotogroup.com					
Company Name: The Nakamoto Group, Inc.							
Mailing Address: 11820 Parklawn Drive		City, State, Zip: Rockville, MD. 20852					
Telephone: 301-468-6535		Date of Facility Visit: 5/13-14/2019					
Agency Information							
Name of Agency: Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services		Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): State of Iowa					
Physical Address: 605 Main St.		City, State, Zip: Davenport, Iowa 52802					
Mailing Address: Same as Above		City, State, Zip: Same as Above					
Telephone: 563-484-5777		Is Agency accredited by any organization? Xes D No					
The Agency Is:	Military	Private for Profit	Private not for Profit				
Municipal	County	⊠ State	Federal				
Agency mission: The mission: The mission of the Offenders."	sion of the Seventh Judicia	al District is to "Protect the	Public, the Employees,				
Agency Website with PREA Inf	ormation: www.seventhdo	cs.com					
Agency Chief Executive Officer							
Name: Waylyn McCulloh		Title: District Director					
Email: waylyn.mcculloh@iowa.gov		Telephone: 563-484-5830					
Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator							
Name: Kevin Rommel		Title: Residential Manager					
Email: kevin.rommel@iowa.gov		Telephone: 563-324-213	31 ext. 22				

PREA Audit Report

Work Release Facility

PREA Coordinator Reports to: Waylyn McCulloh			Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator 0				
Facility Information							
Name of Facility:	Work I	Release Facility (WRF)				
Physical Address: 605 Main St., Davenport, Iowa 52802							
Mailing Address (if different than above):							
Telephone Number: 563-484-5777							
The Facility Is:		Military		Private for Profit	Private not for Profit		
Municipal County			State	Federal			
Facility Type:		ty treatment center	🛛 Halfv	vay house	Restitution center		
	☐ Mental health facility □			Alcohol or drug rehabilitation center			
	Other com	munity correctional	facility				
Facility Mission: and the Offen		on of the Work Re	elease F	acility is to "Protect the F	Public, the Employees,		
Facility Website with PREA Information: WWW.Seventhdcs.com							
Have there been any internal or external audits of and/or accreditations by any other organization?							
Director							
Name: Waylyn McCulloh		Title:	Title: District Director				
Email: wayly	Email: waylyn.mcculloh@iowa.gov Telephone: 563-484-5830						
Facility PREA Compliance Manager							
Name: N/A			Title:				
Email:			Teleph	ione:			
Facility Health Service Administrator							
Name: N/A							
Email:	nail: Telephone:						
Facility Characteristics							

Designated Facility Capacity: 120 Current Population of Facility: 105								
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months					462			
	nts admitted to facility during the pa ity confinement facility:	st 12 mon	ths who were transferred fr	om a	0			
Number of reside facility was for 30	nts admitted to facility during the pas	st 12 mon	ths whose length of stay in	the	410			
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the 455 facility was for 72 hours or more:								
Number of residents on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012: 0								
Age Range of Population:	Adults	🗌 Juve	eniles	Yout	hful residents			
	18-75 yrs.	N/A		N/A				
Average length of	f stay or time under supervision:				4.2 Months			
Facility Security Level:					Minimum			
Resident Custody Levels:					Halfway House			
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents:					26			
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents:					6			
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents:					2			
Physical Plant								
Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Single Cell Housing Units: 12								
Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:			54					
Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units: 0								
Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.): The Work Release Facility (WRF) utilizes a video camera system for video surveillance. Cameras are placed strategically throughout the facility to ensure the safety and security of both residents and staff.								
Medical								
Type of Medical Facility:			N/A					
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at: Genesis Medical Center								
Other								
Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:					8			
Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:					4			

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

<u>Overview</u>

The on-site Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) compliance audit of the Work Release Facility (WRF), located in Davenport, lowa was conducted on May 22-23, 2019 by U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) certified PREA Auditor, James L. Roland Jr., Nakamoto Group, Inc. The standards used for this audit became effective August 20, 2012. The Auditor conducted an opening meeting, toured the entire facility, interviewed a randomized sample of staff and residents, and reviewed PREA related staff and resident documentation. Upon completion of the audit process, a closing meeting was held with the administrative staff to discuss the audit process, preliminary findings, and the post-audit process. Employees at the facility were extremely courteous, cooperative, and professional. All areas of the facility were found to be clean and well maintained. During the completion meeting, the Auditor thanked the staff for their hard work and dedication to the PREA process.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

Pre-Audit Phase

On March 21th, 2019, PREA Audit Notices (in English and Spanish) where sent to the facility to be posted. The Auditor observed these postings during the tour. These notices were posted in the living units, at the main entrance, and in the visitation area. These notices were posted for eight weeks pre-audit. The Auditor received no correspondence from residents prior to the on-site visit.

WRF staff were asked to complete the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) also provided to the facility on March 21, 2019. The completed PAQ and supporting documentation was received by the Auditor on April 3, 2019. All documentation was reviewed by the Auditor, including educational materials, training logs, posters, brochures, agency policies, institution

supplements, procedures, forms, organizational charts, and other PREA related documentation.

On April 15, 2019, the Auditor requested additional information including, but not limited to, staff rosters, inmate rosters, investigations for review, residents self-identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex (LGBTI), inmate reports of sexual abuse/harassment, residents who are Limited English Proficient (LEP), and additional examples of the WRF screening instrument. These documents were provided and reviewed at the time of the audit.

On-Site Audit Phase

The Auditor held an opening meeting on the morning of May 22, 2019 at the WRF facility with administrative staff. The audit schedule and process were discussed during the meeting. Including the Auditor, those present at the meeting were:

- District PREA Compliance Coordinator (DPCC)
- Residential Manager
- Resident Supervisor

The Auditor was provided a private area in which to work and conduct confidential interviews. All requested files and rosters, both staff and residents were made available to the Auditor for review.

Immediately following the opening meeting, a tour of the facility was completed. The Auditor was escorted by the Residential Supervisor. During the tour, the Auditor reviewed PREA related documentation and materials located on bulletin boards and pertinent entries made in electronic logs. The Auditor assessed camera surveillance, physical supervision, and electronic monitoring capabilities. Other areas of focus during the facility tour included, but were not limited to, levels of staff supervision, and limits to cross-gender viewing. All signs and postings were in both English and Spanish. Residents can shower, dress, and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender. Informal and formal conversations with employees and residents regarding the PREA standards were conducted. Postings regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed in all living units, meeting areas, and throughout the facility. Audit notice postings with the PREA Auditor's contact information were posted in the same areas. The Auditor notice postings were posted 60 days prior to the on-site visit. Unimpeded access to all areas of the facility was provided to the Auditor.

Resident Interviews

At the time of the audit there were 20 female residents and 85 male residents housed at WRF. A total of 20 residents were interviewed, 4 female and 16 male. The facility had no LEP residents. The Auditor interviewed two cognitive disabled residents. The facility indicted that they had no residents who reported sexual abuse, and no residents who reported sexual victimization during risk screening. The facility indicated they had no residents that identified as

gay, bi-sexual, lesbian, transgender, or intersex. One resident refused to be interviewed. Interviews were conducted using the Department of Justice (DOJ) protocols to assess resident's knowledge of PREA and the reporting mechanisms available to them.

Staff Interviews

WRF employs a staff of 26 individuals, 20 staff interviews were conducted, these interviews included 10 random staff (from all three shifts) and 9 administrative/specialized staff. The administrative staff included the District Director, DPCC, Residential Manager, the specialized staff included a PREA Investigator, Personnel Specialist and Probation/Parole Officer. All staff members have been trained to act as first responders when a PREA related incident occurs.

File Review

Following the interviews, the Auditor reviewed the files requested during the pre-audit phase. The Auditor reviewed personnel files to establish compliance with PREA training mandates and background checks. The facility has no contractors who are alone with residents. All contractors are escorted by staff. Screening and intake procedures were evaluated by reviewing random resident files which included a vulnerability assessment instrument.

Investigations

During the current auditing period, there were zero reported allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. All administrative investigations are handled by the districts four investigators. Criminal investigations are conducted by the Davenport Police Department (DPD). The PREA Coordinator is responsible for receiving verbal and telephonic referrals 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Additionally, abuse investigation outcomes and general protective services assessment outcomes are submitted to, reviewed, and finalized by the DPCC. No resident correspondence was received by the Auditor from residents prior to the visit.

<u>Closeout</u>

A closing meeting was held with the Auditor and the administrative staff on the morning of May 23, 2019. Discussions centered around the audit process, preliminary findings, and the post-audit process. The Auditor thanked the staff for their hard work and dedication to the PREA process.

Facility Characteristics



The Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services is mandated by the Code of lowa to assist individuals who have been ordered by the courts or the Board of Parole to participate in correctional programs and services designed to modify their behavior.

The Seventh Judicial District is located in far east-central lowa, and is comprised of five counties. While these counties are primarily rural in nature, a number of larger cities such as Davenport, Muscatine and Clinton are located within this judicial district. The Work Release Facility is a multi-level facility in the southwestern area of Davenport. It began serving probationers in 1982 after being newly constructed, and is currently utilized almost exclusively for probationers.

The facility houses offenders from a variety of correctional statuses:

<u>Male offenders</u>: State Work Release, Federal Bureau of Prison Community Corrections: Prerelease and Public Law, Special Sentence Parole (sex offenders).

<u>Female offenders</u>: State Work Release, Federal Bureau of Prison Community Corrections: Pre-release and Public Law, Special Sentence Parole (sex offenders), Operating While Intoxicated (OWI) Continuum, Probation.

The facility houses offenders who have been adjudicated in the adult court system. The only circumstance under which an offender under the age of eighteen enters the facility is in the event that the case was transferred from the juvenile to the adult court. Consequently, the age range of offenders is 18 to 70 +. The current allocation of beds allows an official capacity of 96 males and 24 females. Facility staff complete the Sexual Violence Propensity assessment on each resident at the time of intake. The outcome of this assessment is used in the assignment of sleeping rooms. Facility staff members are aware of the social histories of the offenders. A majority of the women offenders have histories in which they have been the victims of physical,

emotional and sexual violence: histories that have contributed to their pathway to criminality. The male offenders have less exposure as victims of sexual violence, but they often have been exposed to physical and emotional violence.

Because the goal of the facility is the reintegration of the offender into the community, WRF emphasizes the offender's involvement in the programs that meet the criminogenic needs that are identified in assessments. Offenders must seek and maintain gainful employment, which generally requires 40 hours of work per week. A majority of offenders have substance abuse histories and require either treatment or aftercare activities. A significant number of offenders have unresolved mental health issues. Offenders are away from the facility for substantial periods during the day for the purposes of job-seeking, employment, substance abuse treatment and other programming requirements. Furthermore, offenders are granted furloughs and passes to leave the facility for recreational and family purposes.

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, **along with a list of each of the standards in each category**. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of Standards Exceeded:

Number of Standards Met:

45

- §115.211; §115.212; §115.213; §115.214, §115.215; §115.216; §115.217; §115.218
- §115.221; §115.222
- §115.231; §115.232; §115.233; §1152.34; §115.235
- §115.241; §115.242; §115.243
- §115.251; §115.252; §115.253; §115.254
- §115.261; §115.262; §115.263; §115.264; §115.265; §115.266; §115.267; §115.268
- §115.271; §115.272; §115.273; §115.276; §115.277; §115.278
- §115.281; §115.282; §115.283; §115.286; §115.287; §115.288; §115.289
- §115.401; §115.403

Number of Standards Not Met:

Summary of Corrective Action (if any)

None

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.211 (a)

- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.211 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?
 Xes
 No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Policy and Procedure (PP) GEN 14.1 Introduction and Background
- 3. PP GEN 14.2 PREA Compliance
- 4. PP GEN 14.3 Sexual Violence and Sexual Harassment
- 5. PP GEN 14A.1 Tolerance Prevention
- 6. PP GEN 8.4 Table of Organization
- 7. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services Organizational Chart
- 8. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional PREA Flow Chart: <u>Offender on</u> <u>Offender Sexual Assault Allegation</u>
- 9. Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

The agency's zero-tolerance policy against sexual abuse was clearly established in the above documentation and via interviews. The policy also outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. The DPCC reports to the District Director. Zero-tolerance posters are displayed throughout every area of the facility. The agency and facility directives outline a zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Residents are informed orally about the zero-tolerance policy and the PREA program during in-processing and are required to view a video during admission and orientation presentations. Additional program information is contained in the Resident Handbook, and is posted throughout the facility, as observed by the Auditor during the tour. All PREA information, both video and written, is available in English and Spanish. Interpretive services are available for residents who do not speak or read English or Spanish. Both WRF staff and residents are provided with multiple opportunities to become informed of PREA policies and procedures. All employees receive initial training and Annual Refresher Training, as well as updates throughout the year.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.212 (a)

 If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.212 (b)

 Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.212(a)-1 is "NO".) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.212 (c)

- If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA
- In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services Organizational Chart
- Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Specialized)

WRF does not contract with other external entities to house or confine any of their residents.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.213 (a)

- Does the agency develop for each facility a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?
 Xes
 No
- Does the agency document for each facility a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?
 Xes
 No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the physical layout of each facility in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the resident population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.213 (b)

In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)
 Yes
 No
 NA

115.213 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ⊠ Yes □ No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? ⊠ Yes □ No

- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. WRF Staffing Plan
- 3. Residential Officer (RO) schedule
- 4. WRF Post Orders
- 5. WRF Camera Layout
- 6. WRF Staffing Plan review
- 7. WRF PREA Data
- 8. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Agency policy requires the facility to review the staffing plans on an annual basis. Interviews with the District Director and DPCC revealed compliance with the PREA and that other safety and security issues are always a primary focus when they consider and review their respective staffing plans. WRF has been provided with all necessary resources to support the programs and procedures to ensure compliance with PREA standards. The audit included an examination of all video monitoring systems, resident access to telephones, the resident computer access, staff interviews, and rosters. Supervisory/Administrative staff members routinely make unannounced rounds covering all shifts and these rounds are documented. Interviews with staff confirmed unannounced rounds to all areas of the facility are conducted

on a weekly basis, with no warning to employees. Since the previous audit in 2016, WRF has purchased and installed three additional cameras to their state-of-the-art video monitoring system. The facility has a total of 76 cameras. During the tour, camera locations were observed by the Auditor.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.215 (a)

 Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
 Xes
 No

115.215 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if less than 50 residents)
 Yes □ No ⊠ NA
- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if less than 50 residents) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.215 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.215 (d)

- Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.215 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? ⊠ Yes □ No
- If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?
 Xes
 No

115.215 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. The Moss Group Inc. PowerPoint presentation of: <u>Guidance of Cross-Gender and</u> <u>Transgender Pat Searches</u>
- 3. PP GEN14A.3 Cross-gender viewing and searches prevention
- 4. PREA Residential Staff E-Learning record 2018
- 5. WRF Post Orders
- 6. Interviews with the following:

a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policies and documentation address this standard. Cross-gender strip or cross-gender body cavity searches are prohibited, except in emergency situations or when performed and documented by a medical practitioner. Staff interviews indicated they received cross-gender pat search training during initial and annual training. The Auditor observed that each unit has individual shower stalls for privacy. The facility has implemented a policy that all staff working the shift will announce themselves prior to walking the wings to allow residents the opportunity to prepare themselves from a privacy perspective. The residents interviewed acknowledged they can shower, dress, and use the toilet privately, without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender. Staff, along with residents interviewed, indicated that employees of the opposite gender announce their presence before entering a unit. Staff members were aware of the policy prohibiting the search of a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. During the past 12 months, there were no exigent circumstances that required cross-gender viewing of a resident by a staff member at the WRF.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.216 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) Ves Description
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.216 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.216 (c)

Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?
 Xes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PRC PowerPoint Presentation: <u>Making PREA and victim services accessible for</u> incarcerated people with disabilities
- 3. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 4. PP GEN 14A.4 Policy on Offenders with Disabilities Prevention Inclusion
- 5. Interpretation Languages list
- 6. CTS LanguageLink Interpretation instructions
- 7. Iowa Roster of State Court Interpreters
- 8. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

The WRF takes appropriate steps to ensure residents with disabilities and residents with LEP have an opportunity to participate in and benefit from the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. PREA handouts, bulletin board postings and resident handbooks are in both English and Spanish. These documents were submitted to and reviewed by the Auditor. Interviewed staff members were aware of the policy that, under no circumstances, are resident interpreters or assistants to be used when dealing with PREA issues. The Seventh District Department of Correctional Services has an agreement for ondemand over-the-phone interpreter services from CTS LanguageLink, which are available to RCF residents. Disability providers are listed and include assistance for deaf, hard-of-hearing, LEP, blind, low vision, and intellectual, psychiatric and speech disabilities. At the time of the audit, there were no LEP residents or residents with disabilities. The review of documentation and staff and resident interviews supports a finding that the facility is in compliance with this standard.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions

PREA Audit Report

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.217 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.217 (b)

 Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.217 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? X Yes I No

115.217 (d)

 Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.217 (e)

 Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☑ Yes □ No

115.217 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? X Yes D No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.217 (g)

 Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.217 (h)

Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

PREA Audit Report

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN 14A.5 Hiring and Promotion Decisions
- 3. Probation Parole Officer II Scoring Guide
- 4. List of employee background checks via computer
- 5. Employment Application
- 6. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policies and interviews confirm compliance with this standard. All employees, contractors and volunteers have background checks completed through the National Crime Investigation Center and the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS). Staff promotions require a background check before a promotion is approved. A tracking system is in place to ensure that updated background checks are conducted every five years. Policy states that false information submitted by the applicant is grounds for termination. The auditor reviewed employment documentation supporting compliance with this standard

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.218 (a)

115.218 (b)

 If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) \boxtimes Yes \Box No \Box NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. WRF Camera Layout
- 3. Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Specialized)

Policies and interviews confirm compliance with this standard. The camera system includes 76 cameras for video surveillance. Cameras are placed strategically throughout the complex to ensure the safety and security of both residents and staff.

Corrective action: None required

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.221 (a)

If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
 Xes

 NA
 NA

115.221 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.221 (c)

- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.221 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ⊠ Yes □ No
- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.221 (e)

 As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ⊠ Yes □ No As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.221 (f)

115.221 (g)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.221 (h)

 If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP DRS 46 PREA/Staff Protocols and Responses to Sexual Abuse
- 3. GEN14B.1 Policy: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
- 4. PREA Qualified Staff Training Certifications
- 5. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Family Resources Inc.
- 6. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Genesis Medical Center

- 7. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Scott County Attorney's Office
- 8. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Davenport Police Department (DPD)
- 9. Family Resources Website
- 10. Resident Handbook
- 11. Posting listing all Community Resources
- 12. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
 - b. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) (Genesis Medical Center)
 - c. Director of Family Resources Inc.

WRF staff members were interviewed concerning this standard and all were knowledgeable of the procedures required to secure and obtain usable physical evidence when sexual abuse is alleged. Staff members were also aware of the four certified PREA investigators who conduct administrative investigations relative to sexual abuse allegations. All criminal investigations are conducted by the DPD. All forensic medical examinations are conducted by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff at Genesis Medical Center. A telephone interview with the SANE representative at Genesis Medical Center was conducted and the provider was aware of the provisions of the PREA standards. The representative indicated that a SANE is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. There were no SANE examinations conducted during the past 12 months. The MOU with Family Resources provides victim advocacy services to residents. Any follow up treatment is provided by personnel within the community, as directed by Family Resources Inc. There have been no allegations or investigations during this auditing period.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.222 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.222 (b)

 Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Ves Does No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.222 (c)

115.222 (d)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. GEN14B.2 <u>Referrals of allegations for investigations</u>
- 3. Certification of Training for PREA Investigator
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policies and documents address the mandates of this standard. The policy requires that all criminal allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment be referred for investigation to the

appropriate law enforcement authorities: DPD. Four administrative staff conduct administrative investigations. An interview was conducted with one of these investigators, he was found to be very knowledgeable concerning his responsibilities. These investigators have all received the sexual abuse investigations training through the Iowa Department of Correctional (IDOC). The District Director assigns the individual who will conduct internal investigations. Standard compliance was also demonstrated via interviews with the District Director, and the DPCC. The agency reports zero allegations of sexual abuse during the past 12 months.

Corrective action: None required

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.231: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.231 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?
 Xes
 No

115.231 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.231 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.231 (d)

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14C.1 Employee Training
- 3. 2018 E-Learning Staff training completion
- 4. Seventh Judicial District PP Chapter 245 Update
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

WRF provides PREA training to employees. IDOC provides web-based E-Learning of PREA standards training which all staff must complete. Presently, the facility has one contractor and one volunteer. All staff are mandated to receive training annually and the curriculum includes an extensive review of PREA requirements. Training curriculum, electronic training sign-in sheets, and other related training documentation was reviewed by the Auditor. Interviewed staff verified the requirement to acknowledge, in writing, not only that they received the PREA training, but that they understood it.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.232 (a)

 Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.232 (b)

Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.232 (c)

 Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

PREA Audit Report

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14C.2 Policy on Volunteer and Contactor Training
- 3. Volunteer and Contractor Training Curriculum
- 4. Signed Training documents acknowledging training and understanding of Information
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized)

At the time of the audit, WRF has one volunteer and one contractor. All volunteers and contractors receive the PREA training, including the zero-tolerance policy, reporting, and responding requirements. The training is documented and maintained on file per facility policy.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.233: Resident education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.233 (a)

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions
 of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ⊠ Yes □ No
- During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.233 (b)

Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.233 (c)

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.233 (d)

Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.233 (e)

 In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination



- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

PREA Audit Report

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14C.3 Policy on Offender Education
- 3. Court Interpreters Documentation
- 4. CTS LanguageLink Instructions
- 5. PREA Posters (example 1)
- 6. PREA Posters (example 2)
- 7. WRF Counselor Intake Packet
- 8. Resident Handbook
- 9. PREA Intake Packet
- 10. PREA Intake Video (English)
- 11. PREA Intake Video (Spanish)
- 12. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
 - b. Residents

Policies and documentation address the components of this standard. The facility puts forth its best efforts to educate the residents regarding the PREA. Residents receive information during the intake process including a PREA packet and resident handbook, printed in English and Spanish. A staff member conducts an education program regarding the PREA for all residents within 30 days of their arrival at the facility. Most PREA education is conducted with 24 hours of arrival to WRF. The program includes definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment, prevention strategies, and reporting modalities. Residents also view a comprehensive orientation video that explains the facility's zero-tolerance policy and covers the resident's right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. There are PREA posters displayed throughout the facility and in each housing unit and a "Hotline" telephone number, which may be called to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Since the "Hotline" telephone number is an 800-toll-free number, residents can call from any of the available telephones. The mailing address is listed in the Resident Handbook and posted in each housing unit for resident correspondence concerning any sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. There is also a translation language line available to LEP residents. The Auditor was provided a random sampling of A&O Checklists/Signature Sheets to verify that inmates, admitted during the auditing period, received the PREA education and relevant written materials. All residents are required to acknowledge, in writing, completion of PREA

education. During the interview process, randomly selected residents indicated they received information about the facility's rules against sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when they arrived at the facility. They further indicated they were advised about their right not to be sexually abused/sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment, and their right not be punished for reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Residents were aware of available services outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.234 (a)

In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] Xes C No C NA

115.234 (b)

- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations.
 See 115.221(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.234 (c)

Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).]
 Yes
 No
 NA

PREA Audit Report

115.234 (d)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14C.3 Offender Education
- 3. PREA Investigation Checklist, Iowa Department of Correctional (IDOC) Inspector General's Office
- 4. IDOC investigator certifications
- 5. List of Certified Investigators
- 6. Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Specialized)

Policies, training curriculum, and investigator certifications meet the mandates of this standard. The WRF investigators receive PREA specialized training from the IDOC. This Auditor reviewed specialized training documentation, including certifications of completion from trained investigators at the facility. An investigator was interviewed and was found to be very knowledgeable of the PREA investigative process.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.235 (b)

 If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.235 (c)

Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere?
 Xes
 No

115.235 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? [N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.]
 ☑ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14C.3 Offender Education
- 3. MOU with Genesis Medical Center
- 4. MOU with Family Resources Inc.
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

All medical services are provided by Genesis Medical Center. All mental health services are provided by Family Resources Inc. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Genesis Medical Center and Family Resources Inc. Both MOU's were reviewed for compliance of the standard. Telephonic interviews were also made with representatives from Genesis Medical Center and Family Resources Inc.

Corrective action: None required

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.241 (a)

- Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.241 (b)

Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.241 (c)

Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.241 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? Zequeq Yes Description
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? Zeta Yes Delta No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? Image: Yes Image: No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.241 (e)

In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ⊠ Yes □ No
- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.241 (f)

Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.241 (g)

- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.241 (h)

Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.241 (i)

 Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination



- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14D.1Policy on Screening for Risks of Sexual Victimization and Abusiveness
- 3. IDOC PREA/Sexual Violence Propensity Assessment
- 4. Sexual Violence Assessment (SVP) document
- 5. Sexual Violence Assessment (SVP) examples
- 6. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. Facility policy requires the use of a screening instrument to determine proper housing, bed assignment, work assignment, education and other program assignments, with the goal of keeping residents at a high risk of being sexually abused/sexually harassed separate from those residents who are at a high risk of being sexually abusive. Facility policy also requires all residents to be screened within 72 hours of arrival; however, they are routinely screened on the day of arrival. Risk management staff review all relevant pre-sentence documentation and information from other confinement facilities and reassess a resident's risk level, as necessary, within 30 days of arrival. Facility policy prohibits residents from being disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions regarding their mental/physical health, developmental disability, sexual preferences, sexual victimization history and perception of vulnerability. Housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis and residents are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status. Interviews with risk management staff and a random review of risk screening assessments support the finding that the facility is in compliance with this standard.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.242: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.242 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☑ Yes □ No

115.242 (b)

■ Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.242 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ⊠ Yes □ No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.242 (d)

 Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.242 (e)

 Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.242 (f)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. IDOC PREA/Sexual Violence Propensity Assessment
- 3. Facility Count Sheet
- 4. Sexual Violence Assessment (SVP) document
- 5. Sexual Violence Assessment (SVP) examples
- 6. PP GEN14D. 2 Policy on Use of Screening Information
- 7. Interviews with the following:

a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policies, screening forms, and interviews address the requirements of this standard. Facility policy requires the use of a screening instrument to determine proper housing, bed assignment, work assignment, education, and other program assignments, with the goal of keeping residents at a high risk of being sexually abused/sexually harassed separate from those residents who are at a high risk of being sexually abusive. Housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis and residents are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status. Based on information provided by the facility, there were no residents who self-identified as lesbian, one resident who identified as gay. No residents who self-identified as bi-sexual, transgender or intersex. Additionally, no resident indicated sexual victimization or abusiveness during risk screening. All the residents mentioned above were interviewed in support of this standard. During the audit, risk management staff indicated transgender and intersex residents are reassessed biannually and the residents' own views with respect to their own safety are given serious consideration. Additionally, they are given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents. Staff and resident interviews, the review of supporting documentation and the Auditor's observations support the facility being in compliance with the standard.

Corrective action: None required

REPORTING

Standard 115.251: Resident reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☑ Yes □ No

115.251 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☑ Yes □ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ⊠ Yes □ No

Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.251 (c)

- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.251 (d)

 Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14D.3 Offender Reporting
- 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Family Resources Inc.
- 4. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Davenport Police Department (DPD)
- 5. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Scott County Attorney's Office
- 6. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Genesis Medical Center
- 7. Offender Reporting Procedure document
- 8. PREA Poster (example 1)
- 9. PREA Poster (example 2)
- 10. Resident Handbook
- 11.3rd. Party Reporting Fact sheet
- 12. Interviews with the following:

- a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
- b. Residents

Policies, the PREA Notices, and Resident Handbook address the requirements of the standard. A review of supporting documentation and staff/resident interviews indicated that there are multiple ways (verbally, in writing, anonymously, privately and from a third party) for residents to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The facility has procedures in place for staff to document all allegations. There are posters and other documents on display throughout the facility which explain reporting methods. Staff members promptly except and document all verbal, written, anonymous, private, and third-party reports of alleged abuse. Residents may report sexual abuse/sexual harassment by using the Seventh Judicial District website, phoning the PREA "Hotline" number, contacting the Iowa Ombudsman Office, Family Resources Inc., Rape Victim Advocacy Program hotline, or contacting facility staff. Family and friends also have access to these methods of reporting. All interviewed residents confirmed awareness of the multiple methods of posters addressing reporting methods, and an examination of policy/documentation confirm the WRF's compliance with this standard.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.252 (a)

 Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (b)

- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time [the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)], does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (e)

- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Xes □ No □ NA
- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 ☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (f)

 Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).
 Xes

 No
 NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.252 (g)

If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith?
 (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Client Grievance and PREA information document
- 3. PP GEN14D.4 Policy on Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies
- 4. Employee PREA training Curriculum and completion sheets
- 5. Resident Handbook
- 6. Offender Grievance procedure
- 7. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
 - b. Residents

Residents may file a grievance; however, all allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. when received by staff, will immediately be referred to the District PREA Compliance Coordinator (DPCC). Residents are not required to use an informal grievance process and procedures also allow a resident to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Additionally, policy prohibits the investigation of the allegation by either staff alleged to be involved in the incident or any staff who may be under their supervision. Policy states that there is no time frame for filing a grievance relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Allegations of physical abuse by staff shall be referred to management, in accordance with procedures established for such referrals. Policy addresses the filing of emergency administrative remedy requests. If a resident files the emergency grievance with the facility and believes he is under a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, an expedited response is required to be provided within 48 hours. There is no prohibition that limits third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys and outside victim advocates in assisting residents in filing requests for grievances relating to allegations of sexual abuse or filing such requests on behalf of residents. There were no grievances filed involving PREA related issues during the past 12 months. There were no grievances alleging sexual abuse that involved an extension due to the final decision not being reached within 90 days. Additionally, there were no grievances alleging sexual abuse filed by residents in which the resident declined third-party assistance. Residents are held accountable for manipulative behavior and false allegations. Generally, disciplinary action would be taken if a grievance was filed in bad faith.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.253 (a)

■ Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.253 (b)

 Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.253 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Ves No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14D.5 Offender Access to Outside Confidential Support Services
- 3. MOU with Family Resources Inc.
- 4. Resident Handbook
- 5. Advocacy posters
- 6. Publications near all resident telephones
- 7. Iowa Coalition Against Sexual Assault (Statewide Sexual Assault Hotline)
- 8. Iowa Attorney General's Crime Victim Assistance Division & Compensation Fund
- 9. Deaf Iowans Against Abuse

- 10. Iowa Coalition Against Domestic Violence
- 11. National Sexual Abuse Hotline
- 12. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
 - b. Residents

Policies and the Resident Handbook address the requirements of this standard. The facility has an MOU with Family Resources Inc. (a rape crisis advocacy service). The Resident Handbook provides the contact information for the Family Resources Inc., and the information is also posted in the housing units. Residents are also provided with a Rape Crisis Advocacy toll free hotline number and numerous other support telephone numbers.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire

- 2. PP GEN14D.6 Third Party Reporting
- 3. Offender Reporting Procedure documentation
- 4. Resident Handbook
- 5. Resident Education Curriculum
- 6. Advocacy posters
- 7. Listing of all Community Resources
- 8. Publications near all resident telephones
- 9. Iowa Coalition Against Sexual Assault (Statewide Sexual Assault Hotline)
- 10. Iowa Attorney General's Crime Victim Assistance Division & Compensation Fund
- 11. Deaf Iowans Against Abuse
- 12. Iowa Coalition Against Domestic Violence
- 13. National Sexual Abuse Hotline
- 14. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)
 - b. Residents

Policy and procedure, Resident Handbook, PREA Posters, victim services numbers, and resident training curriculum, meet the mandates of this standard. The website and posted notices assist third party reporters in reporting allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The residents interviewed indicated they were aware of third-party reporting and would probably feel more comfortable reporting an incident of sexual abuse to someone at the facility. Calls to toll-free telephone numbers are located at all resident telephones and can be placed at anytime.

Corrective action: None required

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.261 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities

that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.261 (b)

 Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.261 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?
 Xes
 No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.261 (d)

 If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.261 (e)

■ Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including thirdparty and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.1 Staff and Department Reporting Duties
- 3. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policies and training curriculum address the requirements of this standard. Staff, contractors and volunteers must report and respond to allegations of sexually abusive behavior, regardless of the source of the report. Staff members interviewed were aware of their duty to immediately report all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation relevant to the PREA standards. The reporting is ordinarily made to the DPCC, but could be made privately or to a third party. Policy requires the information concerning the identity of the alleged resident victim and the specific facts of the case to be shared with staff on a need-to-know basis, because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and/or the investigation of the incident. A review of policy and interviews with staff support the finding that the facility is in compliance with this standard.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.2 Agency Protection Duties
- 3. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 4. Interviews with the following: a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. Staff members interviewed were aware of their duties and responsibilities when they become aware or suspect that a resident is being sexually abused or sexually harassed. All staff indicated they would act immediately to protect the resident, including separating the victim/predator, securing the scene to protect possible evidence, preventing the destruction of potential evidence and contacting the DPCC or on-call supervisor. In the past 12 months, there were no instances in which WRF staff determined that a resident was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.263 (a)

 Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.263 (b)

115.263 (c)

• Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? \boxtimes Yes \Box No

115.263 (d)

■ Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Ves Doe

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination



Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.2 Policy on Agency Protection Duties
- 3. Reporting to Other Confinement Facilities document
- 4. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. Policy requires that any resident allegation of sexual abuse occurring while confined at another facility be reported to the DPCC who notifies the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred, within 72 hours of receipt of the allegation. Policy also requires that an investigation be initiated. In the past 12 months, the WRF received no allegations from residents that they were abused while confined at another facility.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.264 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.264 (b)

 If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.4 Staff on Offender Checklist Form PREA-02 F-3
- 3. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional PREA Flow Chart: <u>Offender on</u> <u>Offender Sexual Assault Allegation</u>
- 4. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy and training curriculum address the requirements of this standard. All staff members interviewed were extremely knowledgeable concerning their first responder duties and

responsibilities upon learning of an allegation of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Staff indicated they would separate the residents, secure the scene, prevent the destruction of any evidence, and contact the DPCC. In the past 12 months, there were no allegations that a resident was sexually abused, and a first responder was required to separate the victim and the abuser.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.265: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.265 (a)

■ Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Ves Des No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.4 Staff on Offender Checklist Form PREA-02 F-3
- 3. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional PREA Flow Chart: <u>Offender on</u> <u>Offender Sexual Assault Allegation</u>
- 4. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 5. training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 6. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy address the requirements of this standard. The policies were reviewed by the Auditor. The local policy specifies the guidelines and procedures that prevent sexual abuse/sexual assault and provides for prompt and effective intervention, in the event abuse or assault occurs. Policy also includes procedures for the investigation, discipline, and prosecution of the assailant or abuser.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.266 (a)

 Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Xes INO

115.266 (b)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets

- 3. Master Union Contract between the State of Iowa and the Union
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

The collective bargaining agreement between the State of Iowa and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 61 AFL-CIO complies with the standard. Employees are subject to discipline, including removal, if they engage in any sexual abuse/harassment of a resident.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.267 (b)

■ Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.267 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.267 (d)

In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.267 (e)

 If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.267 (f)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14E.6 Agency Protection against Retaliation
- 3. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy prohibits any type of retaliation against any staff person or resident who reports sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperates in related investigations. The DPCC is charged with monitoring retaliation. During the interview, he indicated that he follows up on all 30, 60 and 90-day reviews to ensure policy is being enforced and conducts periodic status checks on the frequency of incident reports, housing reassignments, and negative performance reviews/staff job reassignments, as required in 115.67c. In the event of possible retaliation, the DPCC indicated he would monitor the situation indefinitely. There have been no incidents of retaliation in the past 12 months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.271 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.271 (b)

Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (d)

When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.271 (f)

- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (g)

 Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (h)

Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.271 (i)

■ Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? Imes Yes D No

115.271 (j)

 Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.271 (k)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (I)

 When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? [N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14F.1 Criminal and Administrative Investigations
- 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Davenport Police Department (DPD)
- 4. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Scott County Attorney's Office
- 5. Investigation Certifications
- 6. PREA Investigation Checklist
- 7. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the components of this standard. Seventh District investigators are responsible for conducting administrative investigations within the facility and referring criminal investigations to the Davenport Police Department (DPD) to determine if prosecution will be pursued. According to the District Director, the facility fully cooperates with any outside agency that initiates an investigation. The District Director serves as the facility liaison and provides requested information to outside investigative agencies, as well as access to the resident. The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by the individual's status as resident or staff. The agency does not require a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth assessment device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation. There were no PREA sexual abuse/harassment allegations investigated at WRF during the auditing period. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, investigative files, and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.272 (a)

 Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14F.2 Evidentiary Standard for Administrative Investigations
- 3. PREA Investigations Definitions document
- 4. Investigation Certifications
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy and interviews address the requirement of this standard. The evidence standard is a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment are substantiated. When interviewed, the investigator was aware of the evidence standard.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.273 (b)

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.273 (c)

■ Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? X Yes □ No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.273 (d)

- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.273 (e)

• Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? \boxtimes Yes \Box No

115.273 (f)

• Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN 14F.3 Reporting to Offenders
- 3. PREA Investigation Notification Form
- 4. Investigation Certifications
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the mandates of this standard. WRF only conducts administrative investigations. All criminal investigations are referred to the DPD. There were no allegations of sexual abuse/sexual harassment in the last 12 months. The residents are notified, in writing, of the outcome of the investigation. Documentation is maintained in the investigative file. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, an examination of the written notices, and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.276 (a)

 Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.276 (b)

 Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.276 (c)

 Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and

circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.276 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14G.1 Disciplinary Sanctions for Staff
- 3. PP PER9.01 Performance of Job Duties
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. Employees are subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating facility sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. There have been no reported cases of residents engaging in sexual activity with staff in the past 12 months and no staff members were disciplined or terminated for violation of facility policy. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation, may be reported to criminal investigators and to any law enforcement or relevant professional/certifying/licensing agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal. The Collective Bargaining Agreement between the State of Iowa and American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 61

AFL-CIO complies with the standard. Employees are subject to discipline, including removal, if they engage in any sexual abuse/harassment of a resident. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.277 (b)

In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire

- 2. PP GEN14G.2 Corrective Action for Contractors and Volunteers
- 3. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of the standard. Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse/sexual harassment would be prohibited from contact with residents and would be reported to the appropriate investigator, law enforcement, or relevant

professional/licensing/certifying bodies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal in nature. In non-criminal cases, the WRF would take appropriate remedial measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents. During the past 12 months, there were no incidents in which a contractor or volunteer was accused of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and volunteer/contractor training files, prior employed volunteers/contractors training files, and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.278 (a)

 Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.278 (b)

 Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.278 (c)

When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary
process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or
her behavior? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.278 (d)

If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.278 (e)

 Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.278 (f)

For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.278 (g)

 Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- \square **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- \boxtimes Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- \square **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14G.3 Disciplinary Sanctions for Offenders
- 3. PP WRF23.01 through .26 Discipline
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy defines sexual assault of any person, involving non-consensual touching by force or threat of force, as the greatest severity level prohibited act. The program identifies residents engaging in sexual acts and making sexual proposals or threats to another as a high severity level prohibited act. Non-consensual sex or sexual harassment of any nature is prohibited and will result in discipline. Consensual sex between residents does not constitute sexual abuse. Sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, along with the resident's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories. Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to the formal disciplinary process defined by policy. The facility does not discipline residents who make an allegation in good faith, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. Interviews with the investigator support compliance with this standard. The disciplinary process considers whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to the resident's behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. If mental disabilities or mental illness is a factor, the facility considers the offer of therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, an examination of the resident discipline process, and staff interviews.

Corrective action: None required

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.282 (a)

 Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
 Xes
 No

115.282 (b)

- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.282 (c)

115.282 (d)

 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
 ☑ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Family Resources Inc.
- 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Genesis Medical Center
- 4. Employee PREA training Curriculum and Sign-in sheets
- 5. Resident Handbook
- 6. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirement of this standard. Residents have access to emergency medical services at Genesis Medical Center. Contact was made to the Center to ensure that the facility had SANE personnel for forensic treatment. The treatment is offered at no financial cost to the residents. Family Resources Inc. provides all advocacy services relevant to this standard. Contact was made with a representative from the Family Resources Inc. and she indicated they have a good relationship with the facility. Advocates provide support, crisis intervention, information, and referral services to the victim. There are also other community advocate groups that will provide emergency support.

Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.283 (a)

 Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.283 (b)

 Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.283 (c)

 Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.283 (d)

 Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.283 (e)

If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.283 (f)

 Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.283 (g)

 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
 Xes
 No

115.283 (h)

 Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

 \square

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14H.1 Access to Emergency Medical and Mental Health Services
- 3. PP GEN14H.2 <u>Ongoing Medical and Mental Health Care for Sexual Abuse Victims and Abusers</u>
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized/Random)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. Residents have access to emergency medical services at Genesis Medical Center. Contact was made with Genesis Medical Center to ensure that the facility had SANE personnel available to conduct forensic examinations. The treatment is offered at no financial cost to the residents. Family Resources, Inc. provides all advocacy services relevant to this standard. Contact was made with a representative from Family Resources, Inc. and she indicated they have a good relationship with the facility. Advocates provide support, crisis intervention, information and referral services to the victim. There are also other community advocate groups that will provide emergency support.

Corrective action: None required

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.286 (a)

 Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.286 (b)

Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.286 (c)

115.286 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.286 (e)

 Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14I.1 Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews
- 3. Master Log Incident Review document
- 4. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized)

Policy addresses the requirements of this standard. RCF has an incident review team in place. The review team includes upper-level management, line supervisors, investigators, and medical and mental health practitioners. In the event of a PREA incident, the review team would prepare a report and implement any recommendations for improvement. Administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed on all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. There have been no investigations of sexual harassment during the auditing period.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.287: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.287 (a)

 Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.287 (b)

Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.287 (c)

 Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.287 (d)

Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?
 Xes
 No

115.287 (e)

 Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.287 (f)

Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)
 □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Letter from DIS Administrator to District PREA Coordinators

- 3. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services Annual PREA Report 2018
- 4. PP GEN14I.2 Data Collection
- 5. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized)

Policy addresses this standard. The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control and uses a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The agency aggregates the data annually and prepares a report. The agency PREA policy and practice requires the collection of the data per this standard. The agency's PREA Coordinator is responsible for preparing this aggregated data report for the agency.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.288 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.288 (b)

 Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse ⊠ Yes □ No

115.288 (c)

Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.288 (d)

 Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14I.3 Data review for corrective action
- 3. WRF Staffing Plan
- 4. WRF Corrective Action document
- 5. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services Annual PREA Reports 2016,2017, and 2018
- 6. Seventh Judicial District Website
- 7. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized)

Policy supports this standard. The agency reviews the data collected in order to access and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training. Problem areas are identified, and corrective action is taken as needed. The annual report reflects the findings of the facility and compares current year's reports with previous year's reports. The annual report is approved by the agency head. Sensitive information is redacted to protect the residents.

Corrective action: None required

Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.289 (a)

Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.289 (b)

 Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.289 (c)

 Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.289 (d)

Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

- 1. WRF Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. PP GEN14I.3 Data Review for Corrective Action
- 3. Seventh Judicial District Department of Correctional Services Annual PREA Report 2016, 2017, and 2018

- 4. Seventh Judicial District Website
- 5. 2019 Daily Population (1st.,10th.,20th. Day of the Month for past 12 months)
- 6. Interviews with the following:
 - a. Staff (Specialized)

The agency's DPCC reports that the annual report is published on the website at <u>http://seventhdcs.com/prea/.</u> Interviews with the District Director and DPCC demonstrate compliance with this standard. The data is securely retained and maintained for at least ten years.

Corrective action: None required

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) ⊠ Yes □ No

115.401 (b)

- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the second year of the current audit cycle.) □ Yes □ No □ NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *third* year of the current audit cycle.) □ Yes □ No ⊠ NA

115.401 (h)

Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?
 ☑ Yes □ No

Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.401 (m)

 Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents, residents, and detainees? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.401 (n)

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

This was the second PREA audit of this facility. The Auditor was allowed access to all areas of the facility and had access to all required supporting documentation. The Auditor was able to conduct private interviews with both residents and staff. The Auditor was provided supporting documentation before and during the audit. Notifications of the audit posted throughout the WRF allowed residents to send confidential letters to the Auditor prior to the audit. No confidential letters were received by the Auditor as a result of the audit postings.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Reviewed (on-site visit, documentation, staff and resident interviews):

The WRF has fully implemented all policies, practices and procedures outlined in the PREA standards. The Auditor reviewed applicable standards and, through the review of supporting documentation, interviews with staff, inmates, and the observation of physical evidence, concluded that this facility fully meets and substantially complies in all material ways with the PREA standards for the relevant review period. Facility policies are directly tied to the PREA standards and staff expectations. The facility's leadership is fully committed to eliminating sexual abuse/sexual harassment, as evidenced in the realistic staffing analysis and the recommendations for enhanced supervision techniques. PREA training for staff and residents is documented and all stakeholders receive the appropriate level of training and are knowledgeable of the intent of the PREA and the tools available to ensure prevention, detection, reporting, and response to sexual abuse incidents. Sexual abuse and victimization propensity screening is well established and tracked in an organized fashion. Referrals for mental health counseling are integrated in the intake and allegations of sexual abuse processes. Medical networks for the residents are established in the community. The public has access to reporting mechanisms and the agency PREA trends data via the agency website. Work Release Facility currently complies with all applicable PREA standards and no corrective actions are required.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

James L. Roland Jr.

May 31, 2019

Auditor Signature

Date

¹ See additional instructions here: <u>https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110</u>.

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69. PREA Audit Report Page 84 of 84